

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,311	02/11/2004	Anthony J. Kinney	BB1538USNA	4023
23906 7590 04/03/2007 E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE			EXAMINER	
			FOX, DAVID T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805		1638	
			F	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/776,311	KINNEY ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
David T. Fox	1638			

	Bavia 1.1 GX	,,,,,	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	address
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amend tice of Appeal (with appea	ment, affidavit, or other evi I fee) in compliance with 3	dence, which 7 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		•
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailing date of the final re	ection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for than three months after the r	g amount of the fee. The appreply originally set in the final	ropriate extension fee Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 March 2007. A brie the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements.	or any extension thereof (3	37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filin	g a brief, will not be entere	d because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);		•
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplify	ng the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of t	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Non-Compliant Amendme	ent (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			` .
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		eparate, timely filed amend	Iment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prothe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		b) 🗌 will be entered and a	an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,11,12,16-18 and 26-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>21-25 and 140</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections und	ler appeal and/or appellan	t fails to provide a
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claim	s after entry is below or at	ached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment.	t does NOT place the app	ication in condition for allo	wance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		•
13. ☐ Other: see attachment.			
	,		

Application/Control Number: 10/776,311

Art Unit: 1638

Attachment to Advisory Action, Item 3

Failure to simplify: both 112 first paragraph rejections remain.

Item 4.

Improper claim status identifier ("previously amended" versus ---previously presented---) in claims 1, 11, 26-27. Indication of current amendment where none existed in claims 21 and 28. Failure to underline newly added material in claim 12 ("docosahexaenoic acid"), and misspelling of original claim term ("E0PA" versus --- EPA---).

Item 5.

Request for reconsideration depends upon Kinney declaration of 23 March 2007 and appended references. This declaration is not persuasive because it remains unclear whether the references cited utilized the techniques and constructs taught in the specification. It appears that the references support the Examiner's position re unpredictability, specifically regarding the suitability of particular plant species for the claimed high (above 1%) levels of long-chain fatty acids, particularly for DHA, in contrast to the claim breadth reciting any oilseed plant species. See Wu et al (2005) page 1014, column 1, second full paragraph and page 1015, column 1, second full paragraph. See also Damude et al (2007), fourth page, column 2, first full paragraph and fifth page, column 1, second full paragraph. See also Napier et al (2006), page

Application/Control Number: 10/776,311

Art Unit: 1638

401, column 1, first full paragraph and column 2, top paragraph and bottom paragraph; and page 403, column 1, last sentence and column 2, top paragraph and first sentence of second paragraph.

The art cited by Applicant also supports the Examiner's position that the particular combination of enzymes (and genes encoding them) utilized by Applicant was essential for the production of the claimed high levels of long chain fatty acids. See Damude et al, fifth page, column 1, third full paragraph. See also Napier et al, page 402, column 2, bottom paragraph.

The art cited by Applicant also appears to indicate that particular new genes or enzymes were required for the obtention of high levels of long-chain fatty acids in a variety of non-exemplified oilseed plant species, which genes or enzymes were not taught or contemplated by the instant specification. See Napier et al, page 400, column 2, bottom paragraph, penultimate sentence. See also Wu et al, page 1013, column 2, bottom paragraph; page 1014, column 1, second full paragraph. See also Damude et al, fourth page, column 2, second full paragraph, penultimate sentence

Regarding the statements on page 2 of the Kinney declaration, penultimate paragraph, it is noted that none of the claims are limited even to the presence of the very broad class of desaturase and elongase genes. It is also noted that this discussion does not refer to the third type of long-chain fatty acid which is claimed, namely DPA. It is also noted that most of the claims are not even limited to any particular long-chain fatty acid (claims 1, 16 and 26), or even to particular omega-3 fatty acids (claims 11, 17 and 27).

Application/Control Number: 10/776,311

Art Unit: 1638

<u>Item 13</u>.

The IDS of 23 March 2007 was not submitted in a format which permitted its conversion to Adobe Acrobat format, which conversion is required for Examiner validation of the IDS.

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 180 (638

Page 4

Jon O